**Review Criteria for PII Proposals**

Evaluation of each proposal will consist of:

1. A score (1-5) on each of the six Evaluative Criteria.
2. An Overall Impact Score and a one-two sentence Explanation of the Impact Score.
3. Comment on the appropriateness of the proposed budget.

Rating scale:

5=Outstanding

4=Very Good

3=Good

2=Fair

1=Poor

NA (not applicable)

1. **Evaluative Criteria**
2. Significance of the issue/problem to be addressed. Does the proposal address an issue/problem that is important for Ph.D. education?
3. Feasibility. What is the likelihood that the proposed plan will achieve stated goal/s?
4. Sustainability. What is the strength of the proposed plan to sustain the project beyond the funding period?
5. Support form relevant parties. Is there support for the proposal from the relevant parties?
6. Assessment plan. Is there an assessment plan that will measure whether the proposal achieves its stated goal/s?
7. Portability. What is the likelihood that the proposed plan can be exported or reasonably modified to other programs or settings/
8. **Overall Impact**.

* The Overall Impact Score should reflect the originality of the project and its likelihood to exert a significant and sustained influence on Ph.D. education. The score should take into consideration the six evaluative criteria, but need not be an average of the scores on these criteria.
* A brief explanation of the Overall Impact Score.

1. **Budget Considerations**.

Is the proposed budget appropriate (yes/no)? If not an indication of specific problems or changes needed.